JS 44 (Rev. 06/17)

CIVIL COVER SHEET

The JS 44 civil cover sheet and the information contained herein neither replace nor supplement the filing and service of pleadings or other papers as required by law, except as provided by local rules of court. This form, approved by the Judicial Conference of the United States in September 1974, is required for the use of the Clerk of Court for the purpose of initiating the civil docket sheet. (SEE INSTRUCTIONS ON NEXT PAGE OF THIS FORM.)

I. (a) PLAINTIFFS				DEFENDANTS				
WEST EASTON TWO, LP				BOROUGH COUNCIL OF WEST EASTON and BOROUGH OF WEST EASTON				
(b) County of Residence o	f First Listed Plaintiff L	ehigh		County of Residence of First Listed Defendant Northampton				
(EXCEPT IN U.S. PLAINTIFF CASES)				NOTE BULLING GO	(IN U.S. PLAINTIFF CASES ONLY)			
				NOTE: IN LAND CO THE TRACT	NDEMNATION CASES, USE TO OF LAND INVOLVED.	HE LOCATION OF		
(c) Attorneys (Firm Name, A	Address, and Telephone Numbe	r)		Attorneys (If Known)				
	Address, and Telephone Number and James F. Preston		o, LP					
38 West Market S (610) 865-3664	treet, Bethlehem, PA	18018		Unknown.				
-	CELON	e ben't some bones	TIT CI	THE NORTH OF DE	DINCIPAL DADTIEC			
II. BASIS OF JURISDI	CTION (Place an "X" in O	ne Box Only)		(For Diversity Cases Only)	RINCIPAL PARTIES	(Place an "X" in One Box for Plaintiff and One Box for Defendant)		
☐ 1 U.S. Government Plaintiff		Not a Party)	Citiz	PT ten of This State		PTF DEF		
Plaintill	(O.S. Government)	voi a Fariy)	Citiz	ell of This State	of Business In T			
☐ 2 U.S. Government Defendant	☐ 4 Diversity	ip of Parties in Item III)	Citiz	Citizen of Another State 2 2 Incorporated and Principal Place 5 5 5				
Defendant	(marcare Citizensii)	p of 1 arries in Hem 1117	Citi-					
<u> </u>				ten or Subject of a oreign Country	3	06 06		
IV. NATURE OF SUIT			l p	OD FEETEN IN EMPEN A PRI		of Suit Code Descriptions.		
CONTRACT ☐ 110 Insurance	PERSONAL INJURY	RTS PERSONAL INJUR		ORFEITURE/PENALTY 25 Drug Related Seizure	BANKRUPTCY 422 Appeal 28 USC 158	OTHER STATUTES ☐ 375 False Claims Act		
☐ 120 Marine	☐ 310 Airplane	☐ 365 Personal Injury -		of Property 21 USC 881	☐ 423 Withdrawal	☐ 376 Qui Tam (31 USC		
☐ 130 Miller Act ☐ 140 Negotiable Instrument	☐ 315 Airplane Product Liability	Product Liability 367 Health Care/		90 Other	28 USC 157	3729(a)) ☐ 400 State Reapportionment		
☐ 150 Recovery of Overpayment & Enforcement of Judgment	320 Assault, Libel & Slander	Pharmaceutical Personal Injury			PROPERTY RIGHTS ☐ 820 Copyrights	☐ 410 Antitrust☐ 430 Banks and Banking		
☐ 151 Medicare Act	☐ 330 Federal Employers'	Product Liability	.		☐ 830 Patent	☐ 450 Commerce		
☐ 152 Recovery of Defaulted Student Loans	Liability 340 Marine	☐ 368 Asbestos Personal Injury Product	1		☐ 835 Patent - Abbreviated New Drug Application	☐ 460 Deportation ☐ 470 Racketeer Influenced and		
(Excludes Veterans)	☐ 345 Marine Product	Liability PERSONAL PROPER	TV WAR	LABOR	☐ 840 Trademark	Corrupt Organizations		
☐ 153 Recovery of Overpayment of Veteran's Benefits	Liability ☐ 350 Motor Vehicle	☐ 370 Other Fraud	_	10 Fair Labor Standards	SOCIAL SECURITY 861 HIA (1395ff)	☐ 480 Consumer Credit☐ 490 Cable/Sat TV		
☐ 160 Stockholders' Suits ☐ 190 Other Contract	☐ 355 Motor Vehicle Product Liability	☐ 371 Truth in Lending ☐ 380 Other Personal	0.7	Act 20 Labor/Management	☐ 862 Black Lung (923) ☐ 863 DIWC/DIWW (405(g))	☐ 850 Securities/Commodities/ Exchange		
☐ 195 Contract Product Liability	☐ 360 Other Personal	Property Damage		Relations	☐ 864 SSID Title XVI	☐ 890 Other Statutory Actions		
☐ 196 Franchise	Injury 362 Personal Injury -	☐ 385 Property Damage Product Liability		40 Railway Labor Act 51 Family and Medical	□ 865 RSI (405(g))	☐ 891 Agricultural Acts ☐ 893 Environmental Matters		
REAL PROPERTY	Medical Malpractice CIVIL RIGHTS	PRISONER PETITIO		Leave Act 90 Other Labor Litigation	FEDERAL TAX SUITS	☐ 895 Freedom of Information Act		
☐ 210 Land Condemnation	☐ 440 Other Civil Rights	Habeas Corpus:		91 Employee Retirement	☐ 870 Taxes (U.S. Plaintiff	☐ 896 Arbitration		
☐ 220 Foreclosure ☐ 230 Rent Lease & Ejectment	☐ 441 Voting ☐ 442 Employment	☐ 463 Alien Detainee ☐ 510 Motions to Vacate		Income Security Act	or Defendant) ☐ 871 IRS—Third Party	☐ 899 Administrative Procedure Act/Review or Appeal of		
☐ 240 Torts to Land	☐ 443 Housing/	Sentence			26 USC 7609	Agency Decision		
☐ 245 Tort Product Liability ☐ 290 All Other Real Property	Accommodations 445 Amer. w/Disabilities -	☐ 530 General ☐ 535 Death Penalty	b	IMMIGRATION		☐ 950 Constitutionality of State Statutes		
1	Employment 446 Amer. w/Disabilities -	Other:		62 Naturalization Application 65 Other Immigration				
	Other	550 Civil Rights	ici D 4	Actions				
	☐ 448 Education	☐ 555 Prison Condition ☐ 560 Civil Detainee -						
	<i>a</i>	Conditions of Confinement						
V. ORIGIN (Place an "X" is	n One Rox Only)	Commentent						
X 1 Original □ 2 Re	moved from te Court	Remanded from Appellate Court			erred from			
	Cite the U.S. Civil Sta	atute under which you a	re filing (Do not cite jurisdictional stat	utes unless diversity):	(1) 0 10 100		
VI. CAUSE OF ACTIO	ON Brief description of ca		,)(11)(11),	9 /94(a), 9 /94(b)(1)	(A); 42 USC § 12131(1))(A), § 12132		
	Violations of 14th	Amendment Due			n Clauses, Rehabilitatio			
VII. REQUESTED IN COMPLAINT:	CHECK IF THIS UNDER RULE 2	IS A CLASS ACTION 3, F.R.Cv.P.	N D	DEMAND \$	CHECK YES only JURY DEMAND	rif demanded in complaint: : Ⅸ Yes ☐ No		
VIII. RELATED CASI	E(S)	/	1					
IF ANY	(See instructions):	JUDGE //	/		DOCKET NUMBER			
DATE		SIGNATURE OF AT	TORNEY	OF RECORD				
02/25/2019 FOR OFFICE USE ONLY		JIV	1 //		John S. Harriso	on, Esquire		
	MOUNT	APPLYING IFP		JUDGE	мад. Ли	DGE		

UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT FOR THE EASTERN DISTRICT OF PENNSYLVANIA

DESIGNATION FORM

(to be used by counsel or pro se plaintiff to indicate the category of the case for the purpose of assignment to the appropriate calendar)

Address of Plaintiff: 1177 Sixth Street, Whitehall, PA 18052					
Address of Defendant: 237 Seventh Street, West Easton, PA 18042					
Place of Accident, Incident or Transaction: 92 Main Street, Building B, West Easton, PA 18042					
RELATED CASE, IF ANY:					
Case Number: Judge: Date Terminated:					
Civil cases are deemed related when Yes is answered to any of the following questions:					
1. Is this case related to property included in an earlier numbered suit pending or within one year previously terminated action in this court?					
2. Does this case involve the same issue of fact or grow out of the same transaction as a prior suit yes No X					
3. Does this case involve the validity or infringement of a patent already in suit or any earlier numbered case pending or within one year previously terminated action of this court?					
4. Is this case a second or successive habeas corpus, social security appeal, or pro se civil rights Yes No X					
I certify that, to my knowledge, the within case is / is not related to any case now pending or within one year previously terminated action in this court except as noted above.					
DATE: 2/25/2019 Attorney-at-Law/Pro Se Plaintiff Attorney I.D. # (if applicable)					
CIVIL: (Place a √ in one category only)					
A. Federal Question Cases: B. Diversity Jurisdiction Cases:					
□ 1. Indemnity Contract, Marine Contract, and All Other Contracts □ 1. Insurance Contract and Other Contracts □ 2. FELA □ 2. Airplane Personal Injury □ 3. Jones Act-Personal Injury □ 3. Assault, Defamation □ 4. Antitrust □ 4. Marine Personal Injury □ 5. Patent □ 5. Motor Vehicle Personal Injury □ 6. Labor-Management Relations □ 6. Other Personal Injury (Please specify):					
ARBITRATION CERTIFICATION					
(The effect of this certification is to remove the case from eligibility for arbitration.)					
I, John S. Harrison , counsel of record or pro se plaintiff, do hereby certify:					
Pursuant to Local Civil Rule 53.2, § 3(c) (2), that to the best of my knowledge and belief, the damages recoverable in this civil action case exceed the sum of \$150,000.00 exclusive of interest and costs:					
X Relief other than monetary damages is sought. DATE: 2/25/2019 53864					
Attorney-at-Law / Pro Se Plaintiff Attorney I.D. # (if applicable)					
NOTE: A trial de novo will be a trial by jury only if there has been compliance with F.R.C.P. 38.					

IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT FOR THE EASTERN DISTRICT OF PENNSYLVANIA

CASE MANAGEMENT TRACK DESIGNATION FORM

WEST EASTON TWO, LP	:	CIVIL ACTION
	:	
V.	:	
BOROUGH COUNCIL OF WEST EASTON and		
BOROUGH OF WEST EASTON	:	NO.
1 - 1 - '4 4 - C' '1 I - C' - E -	1 D 1 D	1 (' DI C(I'

In accordance with the Civil Justice Expense and Delay Reduction Plan of this court, counsel for plaintiff shall complete a Case Management Track Designation Form in all civil cases at the time of filing the complaint and serve a copy on all defendants. (See § 1:03 of the plan set forth on the reverse side of this form.) In the event that a defendant does not agree with the plaintiff regarding said designation, that defendant shall, with its first appearance, submit to the clerk of court and serve on the plaintiff and all other parties, a Case Management Track Designation Form specifying the track to which that defendant believes the case should be assigned.

SELECT ONE OF THE FOLLOWING CASE MANAGEMENT TRACKS:

(a) Habeas Corpus – Cases brought under 28 U.S.C. § 2241 through § 2255. (b) Social Security – Cases requesting review of a decision of the Secretary of Health and Human Services denying plaintiff Social Security Benefits. (c) Arbitration – Cases required to be designated for arbitration under Local Civil Rule 53.2. (d) Asbestos – Cases involving claims for personal injury or property damage from exposure to asbestos. (e) Special Management – Cases that do not fall into tracks (a) through (d) that are commonly referred to as complex and that need special or intense management by the court. (See reverse side of this form for a detailed explanation of special management cases.) (f) Standard Management – Cases that do not fall into any one of the other tracks.	
and Human Services denying plaintiff Social Security Benefits. (c) Arbitration – Cases required to be designated for arbitration under Local Civil Rule 53.2. (d) Asbestos – Cases involving claims for personal injury or property damage from exposure to asbestos. (e) Special Management – Cases that do not fall into tracks (a) through (d) that are commonly referred to as complex and that need special or intense management by the court. (See reverse side of this form for a detailed explanation of special management cases.)	
(d) Asbestos – Cases involving claims for personal injury or property damage from exposure to asbestos. (e) Special Management – Cases that do not fall into tracks (a) through (d) that are commonly referred to as complex and that need special or intense management by the court. (See reverse side of this form for a detailed explanation of special management cases.)	
exposure to asbestos. (e) Special Management – Cases that do not fall into tracks (a) through (d) that are commonly referred to as complex and that need special or intense management by the court. (See reverse side of this form for a detailed explanation of special management cases.)	
commonly referred to as complex and that need special or intense management by the court. (See reverse side of this form for a detailed explanation of special management cases.)	
(f) Standard Management – Cases that do not fall into any one of the other tracks. (x)	
2/25/2019 John S. Harrison, Esquire	
Date Attorney-at-law Attorney for Plaintiff	
(610) 865-3664 (610) 865-0969 johnharrison@broughal-devit	o.com
Telephone FAX Number E-Mail Address	

(Civ. 660) 10/02

IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT FOR THE EASTERN DISTRICT OF PENNSYLVANIA

WEST EASTON TWO, LP

Plaintiff

No.

٧,

BOROUGH OF WEST EASTON,

JURY TRIAL DEMANDED

Defendants.

BOROUGH COUNCIL OF WEST EASTON and

COMPLAINT AND JURY TRIAL DEMAND

Plaintiff West Easton Two, LP ("Treatment Center"), by its attorneys Broughal & DeVito, L.L.P., files this Complaint against Defendants Borough Council of West Easton ("Borough Council") and Borough of West Easton ("Borough").

JURISDICTION AND VENUE

- 1. This action is brought pursuant to 42 U.S.C. § 1983, the United States Constitution, the American with Disabilities Act, 42 U.S.C. § 12101 et seq., and the Rehabilitation Act of 1973, 29 U.S.C. § 794.
- 2. This Court has jurisdiction over this action pursuant to 28 U.S.C. §§ 1331, 1343(a), 42 U.S.C. § 12182(a), and 29 U.S.C. § 794(a).
 - 3. Venue lies in this judicial district pursuant to 28 U.S.C. § 1391.

PARTIES

- 4. Plaintiff Treatment Center is a Pennsylvania limited partnership.
- 5. Defendant Borough is a Pennsylvania borough in Northampton County.

6. Defendant Borough Council is the governing body for Defendant Borough.

FACTS

- 7. Plaintiff Treatment Center plans to operate a comprehensive, medically supervised and licensed inpatient substance abuse treatment services facility in the Borough.
 - 8. Plaintiff Treatment Center needs to use methadone to treat some of its patients.
- 9. There is an ever-increasing need for a substance abuse treatment facility in Northampton County and surrounding counties.
- 10. Plaintiff Treatment Center determined that 92 Main Street, Building B, West Easton, Northampton County, Pennsylvania; ("Premises") would be an appropriate location for a medical drug and alcohol treatment center (the "Medical Office").
- 11. The Medical Office is located on Premises, which also include a Northampton County DUI Center ("DUI Center").
 - 12. The location of the Medical Office is zoned as L-I, light industrial.
- 13. Residential treatment centers and offices are permitted uses in Defendant Borough's L-I zoning district.
- 14. Defendants adopted Ordinance No. 966 on September 23, 2013 (the "Ordinance").
- 15. A true and correct copy of the Ordinance is attached to this Complaint as Exhibit "A."

- 16. The Ordinance provides, among other things:
 - 4a (6) The Residential Treatment Health Center shall not distribute methadone to residents as a modality for treatment or clients on an outpatient basis.
 - 4a (9) Any residents entering or leaving The Resident Treatment Health Center must be picked up and dropped off by a third party through a second process to prevent entry to and discharge into the public.

4a (13) Any private resident residing in the Residential Treatment Center shall be required to pay a temporary residence fee to the Borough of \$150.00.

- 17. The Ordinance discriminates against people receiving treatment for drug addiction and/or alcoholism.
- 18. The Ordinance does not require residents in other permitted L-I businesses, including personal care and assisted living facilities, to be picked up and dropped off.
- 19. The Ordinance does not require residents in other permitted L-I businesses to pay a \$150.00 temporary resident fee.
- 20. On November 29, 2017, Plaintiff Treatment Center submitted a conditional use application.
- 21. Three (3) hearings were held by Defendants on Plaintiff Treatment Center's conditional use application (the "Hearings").
- 22. During the Hearings, Council Members revealed their prejudice against people who need drug and alcohol treatment.

- 23. During the Hearings, Council Members made the following statements, among others:
 - "I wouldn't want to be across the street from it either . . .;"
 - "Will we see any [patients] from Northampton County Courthouse . . . to try to make parole or lesser sentence;"
 - "Let's talk about the police department. We don't have one yet, and inside your thing, it breaks out in a little riot, who are you going to call?"
 - "You're going to build this in our town. How much is the property value going to hurt our taxpayers? You're not worried about that."
 - "[Y]ou're going to put problems on our town taxpayers. The house property goes down."
 - "Five or six leave at one time. If you catch them before they go on the other side of the door, they're still going to be outside."
 - 24. Defendants denied Plaintiff's Conditional Use application (the "Denial").
- 25. Defendants' reasons for denying the Conditional Use application were discriminatory.
- 26. Defendants' Denial was based, in part, on the statements that "A resident could simply walk out of the Residential Treatment Center building. The door would not be locked or secured in any way."
- 27. Defendants' Denial was based, in part, on the statement that: "Presently, the Borough of West Easton does not have a police force Accordingly, adequate provisions would have to be taken to protect the citizens of West Easton as well as the public at large."

COUNT I PLAINTIFF v. DEFENDANTS BOROUGH COUNCIL and BOROUGH VIOLATION OF FOURTEENTH AMENDMENT DUE PROCESS AND EQUAL PROTECTION CLAUSES

- 28. Plaintiff West Easton Two, LP, incorporates by reference paragraphs 1 through 27 of this Complaint as if set forth fully here.
 - 29. This claim is brought under 42 U.S.C. § 1983.
- 30. Defendants' Ordinance and denial of the Conditional Use application and attempt to prevent Plaintiff Treatment Center from opening is arbitrary, based on irrational prejudices against, and perceptions of methadone patients and patients suffering from drug and alcohol addiction and is not rationally related to any legitimate government interests. It therefore violates the Due Process and Equal Protection Clauses of the Fourteenth Amendment to the United States Constitution.
- 31. Because of Defendants' discriminatory behavior, Plaintiff Treatment Center has expended time and financial resources and has suffered harm.
- 32. Because of Defendants' discriminatory behavior, Plaintiff Treatment Center will suffer a net loss, which exceeds \$2,000,000.00.
 - 33. Plaintiff Treatment Center has suffered economic injury from this violation.

<u>COUNT II</u> <u>PLAINTIFF v. DEFENDANT BOROUGH</u> <u>VIOLATION OF REHABILITATION ACT</u>

34. Plaintiff West Easton Two, LP, incorporates by reference paragraphs 1 through 33 of this Complaint as if set forth fully here.

- 35. The Rehabilitation Act specifically recognizes as handicapped those individuals with drug-addiction who are "participating in a supervised rehabilitation program and [are] no longer engaging [in the illegal use of drugs]." 29 U.S.C. § 206(8)(C)(ii)(II).
- 36. Section 504 of the Rehabilitation Act prohibits discrimination against persons with disabilities by any entity that receives federal financial assistance. 29 U.S.C. § 794(a).
- 37. Defendant Borough's attempts to prevent the Treatment Center from opening and failure to grant the Treatment Center's Conditional Use application is a covered activity within the meaning of the Rehabilitation Act. 29 U.S.C. § 794(b)(1)(A).
- 38. Defendants' actions violate Section 504 of the Rehabilitation Act and such actions harmed and continue to harm Plaintiff Treatment Center.
- 39. Because of Defendants' discriminatory motions, Plaintiff Treatment Center suffered harm and economic injury by being denied the opportunity to conduct its business and provide a needed service without interference by Defendants.

COUNT III PLAINTIFF v. DEFENDANT BOROUGH VIOLATION OF THE ADA

- 40. Plaintiff West Easton Two, LP, incorporates by reference paragraphs 1 through 39 of this Complaint as if set forth fully here.
- 41. Plaintiff Treatment Center has standing to seek relief on its own behalf and on behalf of its clients under the ADA.
- 42. Defendant Borough is a qualifying public entity within the meaning of the ADA.
 42 U.S.C. § 12131(1)(A).

- 43. Section 12132 of the ADA constitutes a general prohibition against discrimination on the basis of disability by public entities. 42 U.S.C. § 12132.
- 44. The issuance, or non-issuance as in this case, of a conditional use is an activity covered under Title II of the ADA. 28 C.F.R. Part 35, App. A at 438.
- 45. Defendants' discriminatory actions including the adoption of different standards for "treatment centers" than for other medical clinics and businesses, the application of these standards to the Treatment Center, and the failure to grant Plaintiff Treatment Center's Conditional Use application.
- 46. Because of Defendant Borough's discriminatory actions, Plaintiff Treatment Center has expended time and financial resources and suffered harm and economic injury.

REQUESTED RELIEF

WHEREFORE, Plaintiff West Easton Two, LP, requests that this Honorable Court award it the following relief:

- 1. Find and declare that Defendants' Ordinance, actions and inaction in failing to permit Plaintiff West Easton Two, LP, to occupy the Medical Office violates the Fourteenth Amendment to the United States Constitution and 42 U.S.C. § 1983, Title II of the Americans with Disabilities Act, and Section 504 of the Rehabilitation Act of 1973.
- 2. Issue a permanent injunction enjoining Defendants from continuing to violate the United States Constitution, Title II of the Americans with Disabilities Act, and Section 504 of the Rehabilitation Act of 1973.

- 3. Issue an injunction requiring Defendants, within five (5) days of Court Order, to issue Plaintiff West Easton Two, LP, a permit for occupancy for a medical drug and alcohol treatment center at the Medical Office.
- 4. Award Plaintiff West Easton Two, LP, damages for the harm it suffered as a result of Defendants' discriminatory practices.
- 5. Award Plaintiff West Easton Two, LP, its reasonable attorney fees and costs.
 - 6. Award any other relief this Honorable Court deems appropriate.

JURY TRIAL DEMAND

Plaintiff West Easton Two, LP, requests trial by jury in this case.

By: JOHN S. HARRISON, ESQUIRE

Date: _____02/25/2019

Attorney I.D. #53864

BROUGHAL & DeVITO, L.L.P.

JAMES F. PRESTON, ESQUIRE

Attorney I.D. #82010 38 W. Market Street Bethlehem, PA 18018

Telephone No.: (610) 865-3664 Facsimile No.: (610) 865-0969

Attorneys for Plaintiff

EXHIBIT A

BOROUGH OF WEST EASTON NORTHAMPTON COUNTY, PENNSYLVANIA

ORDINANCE NO. 966

AN ORDINANCE AMENDING THE USES PERMITTED BY CONDITIONAL USE WITHIN THE LI - LIGHT INDUSTRIAL ZONING DISTRICT IN THE BOROUGH OF WEST EASTON ZONING ORDINANCE

WHEREAS, the Borough of West Easton has adopted a Zoning Ordinance pursuant to the authority of the Pennsylvania Municipalities Planning Code, 53 P.S. §1001 et seq., West Easton Ordinance No. 480 as amended, and

WHEREAS, one of those Zoning Districts created by the aforementioned Zoning Ordinance is the Light Industrial (LI) Zoning District; and

WHEREAS, the Borough has been requested to amend its Zoning Ordinance to permit certain additional conditional uses in the LI Light Industrial Zoning District, to wit, personal care and assisted living facilities, residential treatment centers, residential DUI treatment facilities.

NOW THEREFORE, IT IS HEREBY ORDAINED AS FOLLOWS:

SECTION 1: The following Amendments are hereby made to the West Easton Zoning Ordinance:

In Section 202, the following new Definitions are added:

"Residential Treatment Center" – A facility whose primary function is to temporarily house individuals for the purpose of receiving medical, psychological, or social treatment and/or counseling.

The following revisions are made to the following sections of the current LI – LIGHT INDUSTRIAL DISTRICT regulations:

Add the following to Section 701 regarding the Purposes of the LI - LIGHT INDUSTRIAL DISTRICT:

- A. Purpose.
- 5. To provide for adaptive reuse of structures formerly used for light industrial purposes to include Assisted Living Facilities, Residential Treatment Centers, Residential DUI Treatment Centers, and multi-family dwellings (apartments) to provide housing for older persons and other persons needing assistance, the infirmed, affordable unsubsidized housing, and to provide facilities to decrease recidivism.
 - B. Use Regulations.
 - 4. Conditional Uses.
 - a. Residential Treatment Center, which shall meet the following requirements:
 - (1) 1. The facility meets the definition of "residential treatment center" found in Section 202, and must be approved for such use by the Commonwealth of Pennsylvania.
 - (2) The requirements on density for dwelling units shall not apply.

17	06.B. No parking o	n the pr	The minimum parking requirement shall be one off-street s, which shall apply in place of Section emises or on the adjacent public streets shall natial Treatment Center.		be .
the entire prer	e general location on ises is to be utilized	(4) f all exi ed as pa	The applicant shall submit a satisfactory site plan showing sting and proposed structures and shall show at of the treatment program.		that the
tre employee	atment, including a staff, the surroundi	(5) safety j ng neig	The applicant shall submit a plan of operations for plan which addresses the safety of the clients, aborhood, and the community as a whole.		the
dis outpatient	stribute methadone basis.	(6) to reside	The Residential Treatment Health Center shall not ents as a modality for treatment or clients on	8	an
for (365) days	ur (24) hour securit per year.	(7) y seven	The Residential Treatment Center shall maintain twenty- (7) days per week and three-hundred sixty-	1	five
per approval o	rimeter boundary fe f Borough Council	(8) ence at a	The Residential Treatment Center shall maintain a minimum of six (6) feet high, subject to the	1	inal
Ce.	nter must be picked arred process to pre	i up and	Any residents entering or leaving the Residential Treatmen dropped off by a third party through a try to and discharge into the public.	t	v =
bet 9:00 a.m. t	ween the hours of a to 4:00 p.m. Saturda	7:00 a.m	Entry to the Residential Treatment Center shall occur a and 7:00 p.m. Monday through Friday and Sunday.	, f	rom
cou	inseling services to inseling and therap thadone for said ou	tormer y servic	A Residential Treatment Center may include outpatient residents and their families for ongoing es, provided that there is no application of counseling.		
offi lice	ices as an accessory	use to	A Residential Treatment Center may include doctor's the Center, provided that the doctor(s) is/are Commonwealth of Pennsylvania.		
Cer \$150.00.		(13) d to pay	Any private resident residing in the Residential Treatment a temporary residency fee to the Borough	c	o f
a ce		(14)] ncy/zoni	Prior to occupancy and use as a residential treatment centering compliance permit shall be obtained by	-	he
SEO provision o	CTION 2: The prov	isions o all be de	f this Ordinance are severable. If any section, clause, senter etermined to be illegal or invalid by any court of competent	nce, part jurisdict	or ion,

such decision shall not impair or affect the remaining terms, sections and clauses of this Ordinance.

SECTION 3: REPEALER. All Ordinances or parts of Ordinances which are inconsistent herewith are hereby repealed.

SECTION 4: This Ordinance shall become effective five (5) days after passage.

BOROUGH OF WEST EASTON

ATTEST:

By: Malle Willed

President of Borough Council

APPROVED this 23rd day of September 2013

ATTEST:

By: Malle Melley
Secretary

Mayor